ecent British involvement with Berlin in the development of its parking policy has suggested that the recently re-unifed German capital could have more to learn from London than critics of UK policy may have thought.

A paper for the Berlin Senate of Building and Housing, delivered at a special conference in September, has foreshadowed the strong possibility that Berlin's parking provision standards for new office developments will be revised downwards, as a direct result of hearing about London's experience in central area developments.

Major office developments are being planned in Berlin in the vicinity of the Potsdamer Platz, sponsored by large commercial interests, notably Daimler-Benz and Sony, following re-unification of the city. The early proposals included 6,000 car parking spaces, though this has been pruned down to 2,600 spaces in the scheme currently on exhibition in Berlin.

But, as independent consultant and academic Tim Pharoah, of London's South Bank University, pointed out to the Berlin planners, this reduced figure is still ten times what would be permitted as a maximum in Westminster or the City of London.

Excessive parking would make it impossible to achieve the 80%-20% modal split in favour of public transport hoped for in central Berlin, Pharoah pointed out, and would also make pedestrian movement difficult because of the roads and access points serving the car parks in the new development.

The special conference on parking in the new office developments was called for by the Senate for Building and Housing and organised by the German Institute for Urban Planning (DIFU), based in Berlin. Other contributions were Nuremburg, which has probably Europe's largest network of pedestrian-only streets, Hamburg, which has for 20 years restricted parking in the centre in favour of park-and-ride, Zurich, which has Europe's highest percapita public transport ridership, and New York, which like London has restrictive downtown parking policies.

"Delegates were particularly impressed with the way in which parking provision has been strictly limited in the City of London and Westminster for over 20

Berlin advised to follow London's lead



· Now empty, Berlin's Potsdamer Platz is a prime redevelopment site

years, without any apparently adverse impact on its economic strength," says Pharoah.

The London experience is also felt to be more relevant for Berlin, now with a 3.5 million population, that the experience of much smaller cities.

In his paper on the history of parking controls in London, Pharoah argues that the economy of central London has not been prejudiced by the restrictive parking policies covering both off-street and on-street parking.

London's central area attracts 1.2 million office and other employees every day, travelling through a region whose total population is 15 million

"This remarkable daily flow of people is possible only because most of them (75% in fact) travel by railway, If they all travelled instead by car, there would need to be 40 motorways each of eight lanes simply to bring then in and out," explains Pharoah.

"The one million parking spaces required for these cars, plus the local access roads to link them with the motorways would occupy the entire land surface of what is central London," he calculates

Instead, Pharoah points out

that the entire area of central London has comprehensive on-street parking controls. Since the late 1950s onstreet parking controls, using meters and yellow lines, along with the creation of special residents' parking areas have actually reduced the amount of on-street parking in central London.

Off-street parking in the central area has also been held in check. After the building of public off-street car parks in the 1960s and 1970s, there was a halt to the provision of new such structures in the 1980s, Pharoah recalls. The system of commuted payments, by which developers paid for new parking provision, was also phased out in the central area.

Private non-residential parking standards in central London are also tough. In 1969 the former policy of requiring a minimum number of car parking spaces to be provided in new developments was replaced with maximum standards, Pharoah points out, while the present standards were adopted in 1971 and allow central London shop and office developments a maximum of one parking space per 1,115 square metres of floor space. While admitting that it would

be wrong to attribute the continued success of central London's economy to car parking policy alone, Pharoah says there can be little doubt that parking policy has been the main instrument of traffic restraint.

"Traffic speeds have remained stable for many years, while traffic growth been contained," he says. "The public transport share of the peak commuter market has been around 85% for many years."

Parking supply has increased only slowly overall, but there has been a considerable change in its composition Pharoah observes.

On-street parking has been reduced, and a higher proportion of the remainder is reserved for residents' use. The reduction of on-street parking has been more than counterbalanced by increases in off-street parking, most of which has been for private use. 'The result has been a declining proportion of the total parking stock subject to local authority management. This remains an issue to be dealt with," states Pharoah.

The Department of Transport's new minister for London, Steven Norris, agrees that to allow the provision of any new parking

spaces would create new commuter journeys rat than satisfy present dema

As the government's stademand is not to encour further road traffic growt London, it is now serior entertaining the idea bringing in further plant controls, the minister receacknowledged. "We now need to look more cifully at whether we should low planning consent of where there is no priv parking provision," No said.

Despite his endorsemen such moves, Pharoah sou a warning about a grow divergence in the application of parking standards acrithe capital as a whole. "application of these st dards appears to have or pletely broken down, excin central London," he lieves.

A 1990 survey showed tonly eight out of 15 inner a central authorities had added the maximum standarecommended by cent government. In outer Londonly Croydon had adopt the recommended maxim standard. The other 17 boughs applied minimum standards that ranged betwee three and ten times man generous than the government's recommended, but nored, London maximum.

These more relaxed park standards are attributed Pharoah to individual los authorities believing, dest the experience gained in centre, that they can attridevelopment by offering gerous, free off-street parking allowance will sim persuade developers to go other authorities where prosion is not restricted," says.

A second principle whi Pharoah identifies as lead to excessive parking prosions is the desire of local athorities to avoid traffic or gestion caused by on-striparking. "Restricted parking off-street would lead to mon-street parking where ke side parking is mostly unce trolled," he warns.

Pharoah concludes the where there is a failure consistently enforce restrive parking standards, the trend is fuelled towards training growth, rapidly spreadi congestion and falling training tr

It would be fronic if Ber took on board the lesson central London just as off parts of the city were ignori it, he says.